The Industrial Labour Welfare Association Ltd.

ADDRESS

given by

G. D. NAIDU

in honour of

MR. D. NADKARNI,

Dy. Editor, "**Blitz**", Bombay

MRS. NADKARNI

and

MR. AMAR LAHIRI,

Editor, Foreign Affairs

"**Hindusthan Standard**",

Calcutta

and their Replies

on

12-7-1949

at

"GOPAL BAGH"

Avanashi Road **Coimbatore**

The Industrial Labour Welfare Association Ltd.

ADDRESS

given by

G. D. NAIDU

in honour of

MR. D. NADKARNI,

Dy. Editor, "**Blitz**", Bombay

MRS. NADKARNI

and

MR. AMAR LAHIRI,

Editor, Foreign Affairs

"**Hindusthan Standard**",

Calcutta

on

12–7–1949

at

"GOPAL BAGH"

Avanashi Road **Coimbatore**

A party was given to Mrs. Nadkarni, Mr. Nadkarni & Mr. Amar Lahiri on 12—7—49. All the elite of the Coimbatore Town and vicinity graced the function. Mr. G. D. Naidu addressed as follows :-

Mrs. Nadkarni, Mr. Nadkarni,

Mr. Amar Lahiri and Friends:

You will perhaps wonder as to how this evening party is taking place today, in what connection and for what purpose. There may be several news magazines in India. There may be numerous subscribers for each of them. For instance **Ananda Vikatan**, a Tamil Weekly in our province has got the highest number of subscribers in this Presidency though **Hindu, Mail, Viduthalai** etc., can compete equally. In Coimbatore itself, **Nava India** may have the highest number of subscribers. In Calcutta, **Ananda Bazaar** may have many subscribers. In the same way, in every region, there are some influential papers which have got big subscription list. Each paper may be read by one or two people in the average. But these papers have not spread throughout India and each copy not gone through by more number of people. I am sure every one of you here must know about the **Blitz**, and must atleast have gone through in recent years. This paper **Blitz**, is not like others; it reaches all the people throughout nook and corner of the whole country and read out by more people than any other news-paper or magazine in India, Still I don't know anything about the orgin and birth of this **Blitz** Weekly, but I know that this paper is the most read publication in the whole of India.

What is the reason for this? I had in the past the pleasure of having here leaders from the Congress parties, Dravida Kazhakham and others addressing meetings. Of course, the public are attending in large numbers and in some of them prominent leaders like Sir R. K. Shanmukam, Sir C. V. Raman, Sir M. Visweswarayya have spoken. But when the meeting held by Congress party, Communist party, Dravida Kazhakam party to attack the other parties in vulgar or silly language particularly against persons whether right or wrong, the public gather there in larger numbers. It is natural in the present time to gather in such crowds on such occasions. But, it will be a wonder if such large crowds attend or gather for a meeting where no such attacks are made but if addressed with true and actual facts on any subject.

Here also some years back, one paper called *Cinema Thoothu* subsequently called *Indu Nesan*, published by Mr. Lakshmikantham, dragged in the public of this Province to a great extent. The reason was, published mainly about the morality or immorality of men and women. Of course, in some cases, he published also startling facts about some of our well known men and women of the south, sometime true, at other times false. On account of his main subject having been to publish immoral news, the curiosity of the public for scandals grew to such an extent, that the demand for his paper was so much that people at times paid even ten times its real price! I have seen near my bus stand buildiugs, people forming into

queues, even for the meagre pleasure of reading a few items alone in an issue! Though he published several true facts about the personal immorality of some well known people, they eventually got angry and had vengence against Lakshmikantham, while very few people appreciated his boldness and courage for the publicity he gave and that too about the morality of highly placed and infuential persons.

Now, some readers of the **Blitz** also get angry at this paper! In the same way few readers of the **Blitz** also are accusing this paper, as it exposes their atrocities boldly and with rare courage. In the accusation group, our Independent Central Government has joined, because, no other paper in India has taken public causes with zeal and at the great risk of getting involved even in libel actions while exposing (not accusing) the blunder of the Government. Naturally, any man or Government in the present world, particularly our Free Indian Government and more particularly our Swaraj leaders, if I could so call them, will get more and more angry, in addition to taking vengeance against any paper or against anybody who dare to expose their blunders or their atrocities or their blindness in their actions and in their selfish motives.

Here I waut to say the reason for the big demand for the **Blitz** not in one city alone or in one province, but throughout the nook and corner of India; not for one paper of a week but for all the issues throughout the year. I shall also add the

reason why one and the same copy is being read by several people. The only reason is that this paper publishes the real facts as if one has seen by his own eyes, publishes the news without fear or favour, without any hesitation but with great risk and responsibilities. It is not easy to run such a paper with such pure motives, when such forces as are running against the paper to-day. Then you can imagine how much of force would grow against this paper from the people who are corrupt and who are of selfish motives in addition to our big Independent Government itself which is swimming in the sea of corruption and red-tapism. I don't want to say anything more or any other reason for such great demand the public make for this one paper in India, except that the whole public have so much desire to get rid of this present economic troubles, caused by corruption so rampant throughout the length and breadth of India caused by the weakness of our present so-called strong Swaraj Government. To find out a remedy or ways and means to get rid of these troubles, the public are so desirous to see and read this paper because this paper alone has taken up such a responsibility as to voice the true state of affairs.

The public are desirous to read this paper because of its distinct character from some papers. This paper is not published for the mere purpose of accusing anyone with any bad intention or for black mailing anybody. It publishes only facts, exposes the facts and brings out the culprits to line, so that every reader will be aware of the cold fact—What is going on in every day life?—What is the remedy

for this? All that, the **Blitz** tells you. Any bold or straightforward Government or public will take such information as a boon for them and rectify themselves to get rid of their defect and for doing their work properly. Really, for such work, if any one presents medals or certficates, they are not sufficient as a reward. On the other hand only people who are involved in such scandalous actions and who are very few, compared to the percentage of the good natured public, are accusing this paper. But it is a wonder, government also is joining the unsocial elements to accuse this paper. What is the reason? There is no other reason except the weakness of the government itself or its selfishness or power-madness. It is natural therefore as it is mentioned in a Tamil proverb உள்ளதைச் சொன்னால் உடம்பு எரிச்சல் (If anyone speaks the truth, he is creating heart burns) or எதார்த்தவாதி வெகுஜன விரோதி (The man who speaks the truth is the enemy of many). Though the Government reviving a dead philosophy such as 'Satyameva Jayathe', no editor is allowed to publish any truths on the basis of this motto. Of course, most of the editors have lost their courage. There also, as in the Government, the selfishness smell enters into their activities.

It is impossible to see such a bold crusading paper as the **Blitz** anywhere in India. Really I too know that I will get some trouble from some nook or corner of the authorities for saying anything in appreciation of this paper. But I have already enjoyed and am still continuing to enjoy the troubles

from such corners, which have become a routine thing to me. Though I fear it may come, it won't affect me in any way, I may assure you. Adventure and danger-facing are my pleasureful-business these days you know!

Last year, an article came in the **Blitz** in which it was said that the withdrawal of my Income tax case is one of the reason for Sir Shanmukam Chetty's resignation. One friend came with this paper and showed it to me at the time when I was engaged in connection with the attachment of my properties by the Income tax Department! How can one connect this? While my properties were being attached for the income tax dues, the information in the paper revealed that my case had been withdrawn at the same time. In this case the informers ought to have mislead the correspondant of the **Blitz** and published! Perhaps this is only one case in which the **Blitz** published this partially wrong information, I think. When this friend showed this paper to me, though as a joke in the face of my friend, I really sent a congratulatory telegram to Sir Shanmukam for having resigned and asked him to come away from such an ungrateful vicious circle.

If I want to start any business or industry, I will think and think over, again and again and will start the work. If at all I consult others, I will go only to Sir Shanmukham to consult him in connection with finance and organisation of the business. I have experienced that what he says or advises have become always true in all cases. Soon after he became Finance Minister, he came to see me when I was in bed due to gastric ulcer. In a

long talk, casually as a courtesy, he asked me "How the incometax matter stands". My reply was "You have now become Finance Minister. Until you are there in that position, we should not talk on any of our personal subjects like this". After hearing my reply, he did not talk even a word on this subject. A few months later, when he came again to see me, while I was in bed after the major operation, he enquired after my health and then as a matter of courtesy asked how the incometax matters stood. Then also my reply was the same as before. Later, though he met number of times before his resignation, we never talked of this matter at all. When we were moving with such restrictions, unfortunately the papers published and the public discussed it on such a wrong press information. I want to mention to all my friends here boldly without any reservation that we cannot find out one gentleman like Sir Shanmukham with such intergrity, honesty, straightforwardness and such organising ability in India.

I don't want to mention anything more about this matter. Perhaps some friends may think that I have taken advantage of their publishing one of my matters in their paper for which I am supporting this paper. Really I have no connection with any paper and I did not know for a very long time how the **Blitz** got all my personal affairs and had them published.

I have sent only one letter and that too recently as a reply to one of the questions the paper put:– Whether the subscriber supports the congress or

not - stating reasons? I sent a reply for this alone. Except a letter with this reply I had no correspondence with the **Blitz** at all. Only, recently after I decided to open the Industrtal Educational Exhibition here after the 1st of this month, I sent some invitations to some editors of papers including this paper to fix a date for a speech here in connection with the Exhibition. Though the Exhibition is postponed, the editors' programme has not changed, the guests have come and I have seen them only from yesterday.

So amongst the editors visiting is our chief guest Mr D. Nadkarni who along with its gifted editor Mr R. K. Karanjia is in charge of this great truth-telling paper. I am very very happy he found time to come. Mr Nadkarni is a Horniman-product in Indian Journalism and to be a rare honour indeed, our editors will tell you.

The greatest task for an editor is to maintain the high standard of his paper always. Such a standard is being maintained by our friend Mr Nadkarni, who has come here with Mrs. Nadkarni. I have great pleasure in introducing both of them to you now here. All of you must thank me to have given you this opportunity of bringing them here, to this fine city in South India.

Then, I want to say a few words about our other guest Mr Amar Lahiri. He is a young man and a globe-trotter. He has spent a number of years abroad as a news-paper man. He has an extraordinary knowledge on Foreign Affairs, gained by

his travel and study. Today as Editor for Foreign Affairs in the **Hindustan Standard**, he is doing good work. Earlier, he was a meritorious officer of the Foreign Ministry in Japan. That was during the last war. If you go through his editorials on Foreign Affairs in **Hindustan Standard**, then only you can understand his ability and his knowledge in his line of writing. Here also, instead of understanding his advice or facts given by him on Foreign affairs, our Government misunderstood his expositions. I have heard some instances in this connection, but I don't want to waste your time too much as you want to hear a few words from Messrs. Nadkarni and Lahiri.

Though I decided last year itself not to bother with any more public work, I am not able to keep quiet, when my health become better and better after an operation I had. So, again, I decided to show to the public and Government by opening a Refresher Course to give Engineering knowledge. What can be had in a year in other colleges can be gained within such a short time of six weeks in this Refresher Course. That course ended on the 2nd day of this month. I wanted to conduct the Exhibition in continuation of the Refresher Course. Again on account of usual Incometax routine pin-pricks, I have to postpone it for a short time. In the meanwhile, I have sent invitations to the Editors and now they are coming one by one.

I thank all the guests for having responded to my invitation. I request all the guests to visit the Exhibition which will be formally opened shortly.

Speech delivered by

MR. AMAR LAHIRI

Mr. Naidu, Ladies and Gentlemen,

After coming to Coimbatore, I thought I will have a holiday. But the moment I came as a guest of Mr. Naidu, I found myself in the presence of a dynamic energy and when you are confronted with such dynamic energy, I think holiday flies by the back window; and for two days, I have been looking at all the machines and everything and I think, if I put my journalistic mind, I find that I have asked him every minute at least 120 questions and I wonder why he has not yet been annoyed with me. And so, already I am having a different education. If, I stay here for a week, I think from journalism I will jump to a budding industrialist or an Inventor, and since Mr. Naidu has told me to speak on foreign affairs, I think I would rather speak on that subject.

Foreign affairs is a very very delicate baby. So far as India is concerned, it is just a new born baby and so, it is all the more delicate. So, for me, to speak on foreign affairs, I feel hesitant; but since I have been asked I would rather be diplomatic and try to say something. Now, since the Dominion Conference in London, the foreign policy of India has taken a definite turn. The turn is that we have to remain politically, economically

and diplomatically within the British world policy and within the British territorial politics. Now that is a solid fact. Whether our government says that we are independent in this respect, whether we could formulate our policy in terms of world policy, it does not matter, because the very fact that we are still linked to the British Crown, in whatever form it may be, it means that we still will have to follow the policy, which is laid down in White hall and not a policy to be formulated in that city of Clerks, New Delhi. So, now what would be the structure of this foreign policy which is coming? Now, very recently, it has been dinned in our ears repeatedly that India is following a neutral policy and in case, an emergency arises, India would join with such power which is beneficial to India (hear, hear.) All right! Now, I will give you an example. Suppose, I have a house just opposite to Mr. Naidu's house. Then, I tell Mr. Naidu, "look here! Since I am staying opposite to you, you should not drive your car this way! Why don't you come by the back door? It may not create any noice"; Then, Mr. Naidu replies: "look here, I own most of this land here, so it is you who must follow your policy". But, he disagrees and then quietly he comes at 9 o'clock at night or rather as I hear at 1 A. M. and says: "Look here, let me say this; otherwise my reputation will be lost."

Our foreign policy is like that. But, what would be the advantage of this policy? Now, why this policy has been laid down? Politically and economically, it would be a great advantage to India no doubt, particularly when India as a Nation

is still a very nascent, nebulous human unit. India cannot make up her mind which way or in which form it should lay down its way of looking at the world. Result is we must take the cue from Britain, because, if you take the cue from Britain, we also get the advantage of American support and thinking thus, the Government of India has agreed to what I should call – "Whitehall and the Capitol Hill Policy." Now, let us see what would be the political advantage? Now, in this post-war world, we find that the world is divided into two gigantic political forces. One is what we call post-war democracy and the other is post-war communism. Now the present sense of nationalism in India does not like either this new democracy or this new communism. The result is the Government is slowly, in Homeopathic dozes, giving you little bit of democracy, little by little so that this political nuxvomica will react on you ultimately. That is the reason that when we say that our policy is neutral, we mean - the Government means it this way – that India is neutral in so far as the policies of such nations which do not have any antagonistic or contra-distinctive views other than the views of the British or the American bloc are concerned. I will explain to you in a very nice way how it happens. You find that India is always opposing at any U. N. Conference or Committee Meeting all those resolutions which do not have any political background; but, when the question of world political background comes in, you will find India has always worked in favour of London and Washington, and that gives us a clear indication that our neutral policy, which for the sake of Nationalism we might call independent foreign

policy, is linked to the foreign policy or the world policy of Britain and America. Now, that is the Government point of view. Why has the Government laid down this political policy? The fundamental reason as I see, is that the Government knows under the prevalent cross-currents and trends of democracy – communism show fight which is on, that it is not possible for India to play any part in the conduct of world policy. The best way to prevent a reaction or an impact of the two way world policy on India is to toe the line with the British and the American blocs and I will not say what is my personal view on this matter; that is for the Government to say. Now such a policy cannot be laid down without an identical economic policy and that identical economic policy means that India should be within the sterling bloc. As far as I understand, economically, we are more dependent on Britain today than perhaps politically; why is it? Although it is now about two years that India has become an independent nation, the Indian rupee is still pegged to the sterling and our entire foreign trade is channalised through the sterling pool. Whatever may be the sterling balance question, I am only talking about the general overall foreign trade structure of India, and foreign trade is the most vital part of foreign policy. You can never have a foreign policy, you cannot even dream of any foreign policy until and unless you can build up an independent foreign trade structure. So, here comes the question of Industrialists of India or the Traders of India. In other words, when we think that an Indian Political Policy could be conducted by policos or political pundits, it is

absolutely wrong. Only a foreign policy of India could be channelised, and implemented in co-operation with the foreign trade groups of India. I will not elaborately answer this point. I will ask you to think whether India's foreign trade policy is moving in a way which is politically or internationally beneficial to India. I will quote two instances. One, why is India suffering from an appalling dollar famine? If you go back to 1945, you will find India had a dollar holding. In 1946, not only we gobbled up all the dollars we had, but India was saddled with a very, very unfavourable balance. What was the reason? The reason is that people who handled the foreign trade structure of India did not know how foreign trade had to be conducted. There was no other reason. And because of that very wrong move at the beginning, we are still suffering, and take it from me you will suffer another 25 years. Why I am saying another 25 years, because I can tell you definitely the objections which have been put by the Foreign Ministry of India in regard to the Draft Treaty of the U. S. is going to land us in tremendous trouble. Perhaps, you are all aware that it is now about nine months that the U. S. Government has sent a Draft Treaty which is called the "Indo-American Treaty of Commerce and Navigation". Now, regarding the treaty although America has made, as far as I know, about 19 representations to the Foreign Ministry of India, the Foreign Ministry has not yet been able to make up its mind what to do with it. It has asked a number of clarifications, amendations, explanations concerning the implications of the instruments of the Treaty. Now,

when a Foreign Ministry cannot understand the implications of a simple treaty, which is absolutely rudimentary, you can put your own conclusion about the efficiency of that Ministry. Now with this background, you will have to make up your mind where lies the future, the destiny of India. Does India's destiny lie on her west or on her east? The present foreign policy gives you clear indications that our future, our destiny is not only in London but it goes as far as Washington. Whether this policy will be beneficial or not, you will have to devise an answer alone, because every one of you to-day must take more interest in foreign affairs than in any other subject. I will personally tell you for the last 10 years I have been convinced that India's destiny lies in the Far East and I am also convinced to-day that India's destiny will be decided not on the banks of the Thames or on the Hudson River or on the Ganges. It is being decided to-day on the banks of the Yangtze. What is going to happen? What future shape is coming on that river belt, Yangtze? It will certainly change the shape of India. Perhaps, I will quote one very important sentence of Lenin. Lenin from 1919 to 1924 had been repeatedly saying a very small but significant thing, which has been deliberately suppressed right up to now, but which has come up. Lenin said in many meetings through out and during the Bolshevik Revolution, that when Bholshevism had stablished itself in Russia, the future course of Bolshevism would be this. "The back of England will be broken not on the banks of Thames, but on the banks of Nile, Ganges and Yangtze". Now, the back of Democracy is being

broken. The back of world democracy is broken in the Yangtze. There are two other rivers. Nile and Ganges. So, you can see that the new reactionary force is not very far from you. If you take a present super-bomber, you can fly right from here to that every line of Communism – Yangtze – in less than 6½ hours, and under the present aerial bombing system, the whole of India could be bombed not only from China but from the Soviet Union. All along Tashkhent Province, you will find the Soviet Union, as far as I remember, has 146 airfields and the Russians have the best number of Bombers and those bombers can bomb both ways, from Calcutta upto Bombay one way and go back in less than 6 hours. They carry 6 tons of bombs. The other way they can bomb Calcutta and Eastern India and go back via Napal. And if you look at your own strategic system of single line railways and congested industrial areas, possibly in 6 hours, India's industrial network could be entirely demobilised. Why are you faced with this? What would be the structure of foreign policy? How diplomatically we should move against this force, which we are not in a position to compete in any way. Diplomacy alone can save us. India is not a power not even a semi-military nation. When you have no military power, we will have to depend on our diplomatic talent and diplomacy alone will save us.

Now, please understand whether our diplomacy is moving in such a way, whether we could take advantage of the two military blocks, which are hewing out a new order of things in the world.

I personally think that we should make an attempt to understand the new communism that has stabilished in China. There is reason to believe that in the Far-East, there are about two million Indians, that many of these Indians have been trained in the Communist method and that many of them had been trained how to conduct, what the Russians call partisan warfare. So, it would be to our advantage if we can come to terms with China right now. Any delay might lead us to more trouble because the Chinese door of political indoctrination is open now. And we cannot shut that door by any means other than by means of diplomatic rapproachment. Now, I will stress one more point. It is this. You have heard many times from your leaders that India is a great nation, that India is going to give a new lead to Asia and you have also heard from very big leaders tnat India is the leader of Asia. Now as a diplomat, I would ask you to judge the point rationally and scientifically. I will put the point in a microscope and then look through. It is really unfortunate that the leaders of India, all of whom I have met, have very little, almost insignificant, knowledge of Asia. No Asiatic, no Indian can understand Asia unless you know the languages and peoples I have not found any Indian, any Indian Leader who knows anything about Asia beyond Burma. Even the Malay problem we do not understand and if you go farther, we do not understand Korea and Japan. Being an Asiatic Nation, is it not our duty and is it not to our advantage that you should make such moves which will give us the solid support of Asia, forgetting the political or economic differences.

Already the speeches of our leaders have antagonised most of Asia. Remember, if you take a plane to-day from Calcutta and go up to Tokyo and then come back in every place where the plane lands they tell you that India is a nation of black-marketeers and cheats. Why? From where this opinion has come? We have always said something which we have never practised and that is being done to-day. If we want to take leadership of Asia, what effort, what insignificant effort have you made to-day? Show me one Indian who has made any contribution to any Asiatic sphere. Have you produced a literary man who can command authority over Chinese literature? Have you produced an artist who has given a new shape to Asiatic art? Or have you produced a politician who can give a new direction to Asiatic Philosophy or political Philosophy? We have not. So, when we have not done this, it is not only premature to think of Asiatic Leadership; it shows a queer kink in our mentality. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is my advice. To-day India has come out of her own hermit world. To-day India is not yours alone; it belongs to the world. So, you should be very very careful in making any statement about any foreign country. I have been surprised at the lucocious verbosity of many Government people. They do not seem to understand any bit about diplomatic language. Every word you utter, every word you say is going to be tested in the laboratory of the diplomatic mill of the world. So, please do think; there are about 120 foreign correspondents watching you. Every move you make they are testing it, because they want to know how far and what this country is going to do:

and if they become convinced that you can do nothing or what you say is merely for the sake of saying, just imagine what would be the future and the fate of this country. With these, rather I should say, thought-provoking assaults, I want you all to take interest in foreign affairs. I hope when you go home, ponder over this problem and try to solve it in your own way because I will not give you the answers.

Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen.

Speech delivered by

MR. D. NADKARNI,

Friends,

On behalf of Mrs. Nadkarni and myself and myself and also on behalf of Blitz News Magazine, I thank you for the honour you have done us to-day. I must, however, confess to being pleasantly surprised at this function; I was actually decoyed here on the plea that I would be given a quiet week here; but, when I came here, I found that I was to confront a gathering of some 600 people and to address them on my experiences. Friends, I am not given to public speech-making. 17 years of slogging in a newspaper office does not qualify a man to become a platform Orator. Nevertheless when I actually received the invitation to come here, I pushed aside the accumulation of routine work and rushed here, to find that if some persons are not born great, at least greatness is thrust on them.

I have been struck by one thing in my short association with Mr. Naidu and that is: he has a tremendous quality of under-statement. When he called me here for a week for a quiet holiday, his idea exactly was to hustle me into a long drive to Ooty in the morning and into a longer speech this

evening. Mr Naidu has made references to my career and said that I am trained under traditions of Mr. B. G. Horniman. Friends, I can scarcely express my gratitude to that veteran, unbending and valiant champion of human rights and, but for the little wisdom that I learnt at his feet and his shining inspiration, I would not be standing before you to-day, and who knows, Blitz would never have been born.

Friends, ever since my visit here I have been trying to find out what are the common ties that bind a crusading newspaper man like myself to Mr. Naidu, our host to-day. He is a scientist. We are, what are loftily called sensation-mongers; but, there is at least one affinity that is common between Mr. Naidu and ourselves. It is that we both are out to fight oppression, injustice, cant and hypocrisy, and as long as there is breath in our bodies, we will not soil our hands with anything that is callow, caddish and corrupt. Like Mr. Naidu, we too, are up against official injustice; like Mr. Naidu, we too, are suffering for our righteousness. On the day I was rushing to respond to Mr. Naidu's kind invitation, the Government of Bombay was co-agitating on a move to suppress Blitz for publishing some inoccuous letter; just as the Government of India to-day are trying to break Naidu by attaching his property, the Government was out to crush Blitz by a Draconian measure. I am sincerely hoping that better counsels will eventually prevail on the authorities in Bombay and they will stay their hands. But, I can promise you one thing: that is, even if the

suppression order is put into execution, our voice and our work will never be silenced and our work will continue.

Friends, men like Mr. Naidu are the salt of the earth. They spurn official favours, refuse to indulge in hypocritical antics which are popularly known as bootlicking; but, they will never bend nor break. What is it that sustains and all those who are out to stand against injustice and against inhumanity? It is the good-will of the masses who, with their liberties curtailed are asked to trust their slave drivers and admire and honour them. The name of Mahatma Gandhi, world's greatest humanitarian is exploited, as they try to regiment our minds and goose-step on our souls. But, friends, Mr. Naidu is the symbol of the suffering that is saddled on us every day. He, in a sense, mirrors the trials and tribulations of millions in India; so, when those in power seek to crush the spirit of a men like Mr. Naidu they are only dissipating their own strength. For Mr. Naidu represents the unconquerable human spirit of justice of freedom and of everything that makes life worth living. Greater world tyrants than the parodies who are enshrined in power to-day have tried to crush human spirit, and have failed.

Printed at
U. M. S. P. Press,
Coimbatore